ABC Innovative Projects

| US 17 Bridge over Tar River (Washington Bypass) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------|------------------------------------|--------|-----------|---------------|--------|-------------------|---|
| Location | US Highway 17 over the Pamlico-Tar River and environmentally sensitive wetlands near the city of Washington, North Carolina in Beaufort County | | | | | | | | |
| State | North Carc | olina | | | | | | | |
| Owner | State | | | | | | | | |
| Year ABC Built | 2010 | | | | | | | | |
| State ID # | R-2510B | | | | | | | | |
| NBI # | 130353 | | | | | | | | |
| Coordinates | Latitude: 35.533583 Longitude: -77.066889 | | | | | | | | |
| Contact Person | Brian C. Hanks, P.E. Project Engineer North Carolina Department of Transportation Phone: 919-707-6419 Email: bhanks@ncdot.gov | | | | | | | | |
| Mobility Impact Time | ABC: 24 h grou | nours te ut each | o erect, post-tensi precast cap | on and | Conventio | Conventional: | | l 13 days per Cll | Ρ |
| Impact | Tier | 1 | Tier 2 | T | ïer 3 | | Tier 4 | Tier 5 | |
| Category | | | | | | | X | | |
| Primary Driver(s) | reduced onsite construction time improved work-zone safety improved site constructability improved material quality and product durability minimized environmental impacts | | | | | | | | |
| Description | tee girder bridge (typical 121-ft-long spans, with spans ranging from 100 ft to 121.67 ft) Rural location Average Daily Traffic count: 14,000 (2008) Traffic management alternative, if constructed conventionally: new bypass – minimal traffic management required <i>New Bypass Bridge:</i> The bypass bridge has four 12-ft-wide traffic lanes, two 4-ft-wide interior shoulders separated by a concrete median barrier, and two 6-ft-wide outside shoulders. The typical cross-section consists of seven 6-ft-deep pretensioned modified bulb-tee girders spaced at 11.2 ft to 11.7 ft with an 8.5-inch-thick cast-in-place deck. The cast-in-place concrete abutments and wingwalls are founded on HP 12x53 steel piles. The precast post-tensioned caps are founded on 30-square-inch prestressed concrete piles. | | | | | | | | |
| | This bridge is part of a new 6.8-mile US Highway 17 bypass around the cities of Washington and Chocowinity in North Carolina. Construction Methods: The precast piles and bulb-tee girders were fabricated at a precast plant and trucked to the site. The contractor cast the precast pile caps onsite. The typical 81.75-ft-long precast caps are 7 ft wide and 4 ft deep with tapered ends. Each precast cap was | | | | | | | to | |

| | fabricated in three segr segment) and post-tens inch-diameter pockets | ments (two 27.38-ft-lor sioned together after e for embedment of pile | ng outside segments a rection. The caps we reinforcement. | and 27-ft-long middle re fabricated with 30- |
|----------------------|---|--|--|---|
| | A 592-ft-long, 750-ton s of the bridge and works avoid impact to the env 30-inch-square pretensio caps and the pretensio the gantry system elimit length of the bridge. The construction costs and | self-launching truss ov ed from above toward vironmentally sensitive sioned concrete piles, e ned bulb-tee girders, a inated the need to erec his streamlined the con wetland impacts. | erhead gantry was as the middle for top-dov wetlands. The gantry erected the precast point and assisted with cast of a temporary work b struction sequencing | essembled at each end wn construction to v system drove the ost-tensioned pile ting the deck. Use of oridge along the and reduced |
| | The launching gantry e pockets were filled with together. The post-tens | rected the individual p n concrete and the seg sioned ducts were ther | recast cap segments ments were transvers a grouted. | on the piles. The cap sely post-tensioned |
| | The contract specified November 1, 2010. In a an actual completion da had proposed a comple completion, both of tho specified liquidated dar date for substantial con \$2,000 per calendar da where the design-build contract also included I and special event time closure time restrictions The contract specified performed in accordance design-build team rece The contract also requi The use of design-build Work on the bypass be 2010, eight months ear | completion in 44 mont addition, it required the ate. Where the design- etion date and also pro- se proposed dates bed mages of \$10,000 per npletion proposed by t ay applicable to the fina- team proposed an ear liquidated damages for restrictions of \$500 per s, for certain operation an incentive of \$100,00 ce with all environmen- ived no violations. ired a preconstruction d helped to shorten the egan in March 2007. The lier than the specified | hs, with a completion design-build teams' build team that was a posed an earlier date came contract require calendar day for com he bidder, and liquida al completion date pro- clier date for substant lane narrowing, lane er hour and liquidated s, of \$200 per 15-min 00 if construction ope tal regulations and sp conference and formate overall project time to he project was comple November 2010 com | date no later than proposals to include awarded the contract e for substantial ements. The contract pletion after the early ated damages of oposed by the bidder ial completion. The e closure, and holiday damages for road nute period. erations were pecifications and the alized partnering. by up to five years. eted in February pletion date. |
| Photos | | | | |
| Additional photos | | | | |
| Project | Decision-Making Tools | Site Procurement | Procurement | Contracting |
| Planning | • | • | Design-build | Full lane closure Incentive / disincentive clauses Formalized partnering |

| Geotechnical | Foundations & Walls | | | | Rapid Embankment | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|---|----------------------|---|--|------|--------------|---------------|--|
| Solutions | • | | | | • | | | | |
| Structural | Prefabricated Bridge Elements & Systems Construc | | | | | | Construction | | |
| Solutions | Elements | | Systems | Miscellaneous | | | • 0 | Gantry system | |
| | Precast pile ca | ps | • | PT CIF sub | PT ducts, grouted CIP pockets in precast substructure | | | | |
| Costs | The engineer's estimate for the project was \$171 million. The low bid for the project was \$192 million, of which approximately \$110 million was for this bridge. There were three bidders. The cost per square foot of bridge was approximately \$105 which is similar to the cost per square foot for conventional construction in this region in 2010. | | | | | | | | |
| Funding | Federal only | | State only | | Federal and State | | | Other | |
| | | | | | Х | | | | |
| Incentive | Highways for LIFE | | IBRD | | SHRP2 | | | Other | |
| Program (\$) | | | | | | | | | |
| Contract Plans | Complete Set: | Bridge | e Plans (link to pdf | i) A | BC *: | | | | |
| Specifications | Complete Set: | Image: symplete Set:Design-Build Package (link to pdf) | | | BC *: | | | | |
| Bid Tabs | Final Bids (link to pdf) | | | | | | | | |
| Schedule | Engineer's: Not available. | | | | Act | ual: | | | |
| Other Related Information | NCDOT Archived Project Website [http://www.ncdot.gov/projects/us17bypass/] Flatiron Project Website [http://www.flatironcorp.com/index.asp?w=pages&r=5&pid=26&project=7] "New Top Down Construction Method for the Washington Bypass Project North Carolina," Proceedings, PCI National Bridge Conference / 3 rd fib International Congress, 2010 (link to pdf) "A Bridge Building Machine: True Top Down Construction," Scanner, American Society of Highway Engineers, Winter 2009 (link to pdf) | | | | | | | | |
| Photo Credits | North Carolina Department of Transportation; Flatiron Construction Corp. | | | | | | | | |

* Specific to the ABC used in the project.