## **ABC Innovative Projects**

Uxbridge -Ri	ver R	oad	Brid	ge over Ironst	one B	rook							
Location	River Road over Ironstone Brook in the town of Uxbridge in Worcester County												
State	Massachusetts												
Owner	State												
Year ABC Built	2011												
State ID #	U-02-030 (BAM)												
NBI#	BAM												
Coordinates	Latitu	de:	42.02	9856		Longitude:	-7	1.620556					
Contact Person	Morteza Tayarani, P.E. Project Development Engineer, Accelerated Bridge Program Massachusetts Department of Transportation Phone: 617-973-7583 Email: Morteza.Tayarani@state.ma.us												
Mobility Impact Time	ABC:	6 m	onths			Convention	al:	9 months					
Impact	Tier 1		1	Tier 2	7	ier 3		Tier 4	Tier 5				
Category									X				
Primary Driver(s)	<ul> <li>reduced onsite construction time</li> <li>improved work-zone safety</li> <li>improved material quality and product durability</li> </ul>												
	<ul> <li>47-ft-long and 35.25-ft-wide single-span modular decked steel folded plate girder bridge</li> <li>Urban location</li> <li>Average Daily Traffic count: 3,300 (projected 2027)</li> <li>Traffic management alternative, if constructed conventionally: extended use of 4-mile detour</li> <li>Existing Bridge:  The existing two-span continuous reinforced concrete slab bridge was 31.33 ft long between expansion joints and 26.33 ft wide, supported on reinforced concrete pier and stone masonry gravity abutments with reinforced concrete caps. It had two 22.5-ft-wide traffic lanes and two 1.33-ft-wide shoulders. Built in 1900 and reconstructed in 1930, the bridge was deteriorated and required replacement.</li> <li>Replacement Bridge:  The replacement bridge has two 12-ft-wide traffic lanes, two 4-ft-wide shoulders, and a 1.63-ft-wide safety curb mounted with a S3-TL4 bridge railing. The cross-section consists of four 20-inch-deep steel folded plate girders, each pre-topped at the plant with a 9.06-ft-wide 6.5-inch-thick concrete deck including curb. The precast reinforced concrete integral abutments are founded on piles and constructed behind the existing abutments.</li> <li>Construction Methods:  The precast abutment segments were fabricated at a precast plant. The four match-cast stem segments at each abutment were 8.81 ft long for a total length of 35.25 ft. Two</li> </ul>												

The four folded plate girders were fabricated in a prefabrication plant. In the fabrication process the girders were placed flat on a prestressing bed. Deck formwork was erected, and top and bottom layers of headed transverse steel reinforcement were placed. The headed bars extended from the deck on each side of interior modular units and from the interior side of exterior units. The decks were cast. The exterior units were also cast with curbs on top of the decks to attach traffic railing. The four pre-topped folded plate girder units, each weighing 12 tons, were trucked to the site.

The existing roadway was closed to traffic on June 6, 2011. Traffic was detoured and the existing bridge was demolished. Piles were installed. The abutment stem segments were erected onto the piles. Match-cast joints between segments were coated with an epoxy bonding system. Abutment segments were post-tensioned together, and ducts and anchorage blockouts were grouted. Self-consolidating concrete was cast into abutment pile sleeves and finished flush with beam seats.

Girder units were hoist with a 150-ton crane on outriggers and slowly lowered onto the abutments so that holes in the girder aligned with the connection bolts on the abutments. Once in place, bolts were tightened first by hand and later with tools to the requirements of the specifications. When all four girder units were in place, 13-inchwide longitudinal closure joints were cast with the ends of the deck and end diaphragms. Granular backfill was placed behind abutments to proper elevations. A 2-inch-thick silica fume overlay was cast on the deck, and the bridge was opened to traffic on November 30, 2011.

#### Stakeholder Feedback:

This project was the first-ever use of the folded plate system, so the entire process of the design and manufacturing of the system was new to all parties. The designer and MassDOT worked together to develop the details and specifications for the project. The specifications required the designer of record to be retained as a subcontractor to finalize the bridge details during construction. While the coordination for the project was successful, it would be beneficial to develop standard details and design practices for this system for future use.

Another issue during construction related to the ability to galvanize the folded plates. The folded plate girders were manufactured under the supervision of the designer of record and then shipped to the contractor for galvanization. The length of the units exceeded the standard size for galvanization tanks. This process should be evaluated further in the future and detailed further in specifications so that contractors are aware of challenges during the bid process.

### High Performance Materials

• High-performance concrete (HPC) was used for precast deck slab, overlay, closure pours and end diaphragms.

#### **Photos**

# Additional photos



Project	Decision-Making	Tools	Site Procurement		Procurement		Contracting				
Planning	•		•		Design-bid-build		<ul><li>Full lane closure</li><li>VE</li></ul>				
Geotechnical Solutions	Fou	undatio	ns & Walls		Rapid Embankment						
	•										
Structural Solutions	Pref	abricat	ed Bridge Element	Systems		Construction					
	Elements		Systems		Miscell	aneous	•				
	Modular decke beams (MDcBs     Precast abutme stems     Precast retaining walls	s) ent	<ul> <li>CIP reinforced concrete closure jo</li> <li>PT ducts, grouted</li> <li>Match casting</li> <li>CIP pockets in presubstructure</li> <li>Epoxy joints</li> <li>Micro-silica overlay</li> <li>Precast curbs</li> </ul>			losure joints grouted ting ts in precast re ts a overlay					
Costs	The engineer's estimate for the project was \$2.08 million. The actual cost was \$1.66 million (\$413,000 = 20% lower than engineer's estimate). The cost per square foot of bridge was \$1,026.										
Funding	Federal only		State only		Feder	al and State	Other				
			X								
Incentive	Highways for LII	FE	IBRD			SHRP2	Other				
Program (\$)											
Contract Plans	Complete Set:	Bridge	e Plans (link to pdf	f)	ABC *:						
Specifications	Complete Set:	Special pdf)	al Provisions (link to		ABC *:						
Bid Tabs	Bid Tabs (link to pdf)										
Schedule	Engineer's: Co	ual:									
Other Related Information											
Photo Credits	Massachusetts	Depart	ment of Transport	atio	<b>1</b>						

<sup>\*</sup> Specific to the ABC used in the project.