ABC Innovative Projects

Willis Avenue									0 1 5 1
Location	Willis Avenue over the Harlem River linking Upper Manhattan and the South Bronx in New York City								
State	New York								
Owner	New York City								
Year ABC Built	2010								
State ID #	D210765								
NBI#	2-24005-9								
Coordinates	Latitude: 40.803415					Longitude): -7	3.929019	
Contact Person	Robert O. Collyer, P.E. Deputy Chief Engineer, Capital Bridge Design and Construction New York City Department of Transportation Phone: 212-839-6245 Email: rcollyer@dot.nyc.gov								
Mobility Impact Time	ABC: 2	24 hou	urs			Conventio		36 months	5
Impact	Tier 1			Tier 2	7	Tier 3		Tier 4	Tier 5
Category		Χ							
	 improved work-zone safety improved site constructability improved material quality and product durability minimized environmental impacts reduced life-cycle cost 								
Description	 reduced life-cycle cost 350-ft-long, 77-ft-wide, and 65-ft-high steel through-truss swing span (2,400 tons) of 2,012-ft-long 15-span mainline bridge over the Harlem River (span lengths ranging from 53.5 ft to 219 ft) Urban location Average Daily Traffic count: 70,000 (2010) Traffic management alternative, if constructed conventionally: extended use of 3.5 mile detour on roadways which were already nearing their capacity; also, marine traffic would have been restricted during periods when the swing span would be inoperable thereby limiting access to the river by tall vessels, in violation of Coast Guard regulations Existing Bridge: The existing 49-span bridge had a 2,870 ft overall length including the ramp structures and had a 307-ft-long swing span. The structure was generally 66.5 ft wide with an unreinforced granite masonry substructure. It had four 11-ft-wide traffic lanes with no shoulders. The movable span and flanking span had open grid deck in an area of substantial traffic weaving. Built in 1901 with the addition of the FDR Drive ramp in the 1950's, the bridge was deteriorated, had nonstandard geometry and required replacement. 								

Replacement Swing Span:

The replacement swing span has four 12-ft-wide traffic lanes, two 4-ft-wide shoulders, and a 12-ft-wide combined pedestrian/bicycle pathway along its north side. The cross-section consists of a through-truss swing span with a half-filled 5.2-inch-thick steel-grid deck; the swing span opens to allow passage of tall vessels. The swing-span river piers are founded on drilled shafts with a steel casing socketed into bedrock supporting a pier cap consisting of a precast concrete pier box filled with cast-in-place concrete.

Construction Methods:

The new bridge was built on an off-line alignment, adjacent to the existing bridge. The existing bridge remained open to traffic throughout construction. The river pier foundations were constructed by first drilling 4- and 5-ft-diameter shafts into bedrock. Precast concrete modular pier boxes (precast cap shells) were fabricated off site and barged to the site where they were lifted over the drilled shafts and suspended on temporary hanger supports. A sequence of phased load transfer allowed for sealing of the pier box to keep out seawater, removal of the upper part of the steel casings, and casting the concrete pier cap in the dry. These modular pier boxes are an integral part of the pier caps.

The swing span was assembled over an 18-month period at the Port of Coeymans approximately 10 miles south of Albany, NY. The assembly took place on land in a riverfront yard and the 2,400-ton assembled span was then transported on self-propelled modular transporters (SPMTs) onto barges. In the next 24 hours, the span was floated 130 miles down the Hudson River on the barges guided by three tugboats at a speed of approximately seven miles per hour, escorted by an armed Coast Guard cutter. The span was docked at a Bayonne yard in New Jersey for two weeks before being transported 15 miles up the East River and Harlem River and floated into place atop the new piers. The piers were already built and the center pivot bearing, rack and track for span operation were already mounted to the center pier by the time the swing span arrived.

The final steps of the float-in involved transfer of the swing span from the centrally located barges to a pair of catamaran barges which allowed the swing span to straddle across the center pier. The span was moved into place and lowered onto the center pivot using a combination of tidal action and jacking. The new swing span was so close to the existing swing span that neither could open for tall vessels once the float in was complete. Once the span was in place, the concrete infill was placed in the pre-installed grid deck; the concrete was filled for partial depth plus an integral 1.6-inch-thick overfill. Traffic was shifted to the new swing span within 60 days of the float-in and the existing swing span was floated out. At this point, the new swing span was ready for operation under auxiliary hydraulic power, and navigation of tall vessels could resume.

Although liquidated damages would have been assessed had the contractor failed to meet interim milestones affecting traffic or navigation, the contractor remained on schedule by use of accelerated bridge construction techniques.

Due to the large size of the project and the complexity of the swing span, in place construction would have obstructed navigation for several years. The precise impacts were not quantified since more than several days of impact would have been unacceptable.

Stakeholder Feedback:

The project was exceedingly well received by the local communities not only due the final improvements achieved with the new bridge but also due to the continuous maintenance of traffic across the bridge.

High Performance Materials	 Fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) piles and lumber used for the fender system Lightweight high-performance concrete (HPC) fill in steel-grid deck 									
Photos Additional photos										
Project	Decision-Makin	g Tools	ols Site Procurement		Project Delivery			Contracting		
Planning	State process FHWA process		Right-of-way acquisition		• D	Design-Bid-Build		Formalized partneringVE		
Geotechnical	For	ındation	s & Walls			Rapid Embankment				
Solutions	Micropiles				•					
Structural Solutions			d Bridge Elemen	ts & S	Systems			Construction		
Solutions	Element		Systems			cellaneou		SPMTs on bargesFloat in		
	Steel grid (cor filled) deck Precast cap sh Steel sheet pil Precast retaini Modular block MSE walls	nells ing ng walls	with deck closur Grout Match		osure routed atch d teel d	reinforced concrete sure joints outed keys tch casting eel diaphragms		 High-capacity crane on barge Multi-axle flatbed trailers 		
Costs	The engineer's estimate for the project was \$391 million. The low bid was \$612 million. There were two bidders. The cost per square foot of bridge was approximately \$2,870.									
Funding	Federal only		State only		Fe	deral and	State	Other		
					X			City		
Incentive Program (\$)	Highways for LI	FE	IBRD		SHRP2			Other		
	Complete Set:	Willis F Willis F	Plans, Vol 1 (link to pdf) Plans, Vol 2 (link to pdf) Plans, Vol 3 (link to pdf) Plans, Vol 4 (link to pdf)			ABC *:	Willis /	ABC Contract Plans o pdf)		
Specifications	Complete Set:						ard Spec for Structural			
Bid Tabs	Bid Tabs (link to pdf)									
Schedule	Engineer's:	Engineer Schedule (link to pdf) Actual: Actual Baseline Schedule to pdf)					aseline Schedule (link			
Other Related Information	NYCDOT Project Website [http://www.nyc.gov/html/dot/html/bridges/willis.shtml] Span Barged down Hudson River Video [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4K8GujqERmc] Time-Lapse Video of Willis Avenue Swing Span Replacement									

		[http://pulse2.com/2011/02/10/stephen-mallon-films-time-lapse-of-willis-avenue-bridge-replacement-video/]					
	Photo Credits	New York City Department of Transportation					
Photo Credits		New York City Department of Transportation					

^{*} Specific to the ABC used in the project.