ABC Innovative Projects

Route 83	2 /1 /						
Route 83 (Kamehameha Highway) near the Kahana Bay Beach Park in eastern Oahu							
Hawaii							
State							
2010							
159							
0030008	303026	624					
Latitude: 21.555814 Longitude: -157.874853							
Paul T. Santo, P.E. Bridge Design Engineer Hawaii Department of Transportation Phone: 808-692-7611 Email: paul.santo@hawaii.gov							
ABC: 18	8 month	ns		Conventiona	20 months	3	
Tie	er 1	Tier 2	T	ier 3	Tier 4	Tier 5	
						X	
 construction 128-ft-long and 42.33-ft-wide three-span precast prestressed concrete slab beam bridge (41 ft – 46 ft – 41 ft) Rural location Average Daily Traffic count: 9,960 (2009) 							
	State 2010 159 0030008 Latitude Paul T. S Bridge D Hawaii D Phone: 8 Email: p ABC: 13 Tie • miniming in the • reductions of the existing of the existing of the replace	State 2010 159 0030008303026 Latitude: 21.5 Paul T. Santo, Finding Design Elemail: paul.sant ABC: 18 month Tier 1 • minimized en in the stream • reduced trafficereduced onsity construction • 128-ft-long and bridge (41 ft-1) • Rural location • Average Dail: Traffic manage across adjaced Existing Bridge The existing two 26 ft wide. The stream of the stream	State 2010 159 003000830302624 Latitude: 21.555814 Paul T. Santo, P.E. Bridge Design Engineer Hawaii Department of Transportati Phone: 808-692-7611 Email: paul.santo@hawaii.gov ABC: 18 months Tier 1 Tier 2 • minimized environmental impaction the streambed • reduced traffic impacts • reduced onsite construction time construction • 128-ft-long and 42.33-ft-wide the bridge (41 ft – 46 ft – 41 ft) • Rural location • Average Daily Traffic count: 9,96 • Traffic management alternative, across adjacent temporary bridge Existing Bridge: The existing two-lane five-span co 26 ft wide. The substructure consist connected to timber piles. Built in functionally obsolete and required Replacement Bridge: The replacement bridge was design two 12-ft-wide 20-inch-deep 6,000 pminimum 7.5-inch-thick cast-in-pla conform to the roadway cross slop consists of reinforced concrete capshafts.	State 2010 159 003000830302624 Latitude: 21.555814 Paul T. Santo, P.E. Bridge Design Engineer Hawaii Department of Transportation Phone: 808-692-7611 Email: paul.santo@hawaii.gov ABC: 18 months Tier 1 Tier 2 T • minimized environmental impacts – deckin the streambed • reduced traffic impacts • reduced onsite construction time – precaconstruction • 128-ft-long and 42.33-ft-wide three-spanbridge (41 ft – 46 ft – 41 ft) • Rural location • Average Daily Traffic count: 9,960 (2009) • Traffic management alternative, if constructors adjacent temporary bridge Existing Bridge: The existing two-lane five-span continuous 26 ft wide. The substructure consisted of connected to timber piles. Built in 1927, the functionally obsolete and required replacer Replacement Bridge: The replacement bridge was designed for of two 12-ft-wide traffic lanes and two 8-ft-wide ten 4-ft-wide 20-inch-deep 6,000 psi precase minimum 7.5-inch-thick cast-in-place concrus conform to the roadway cross slope. Each consists of reinforced concrete caps that an shafts.	State 2010 159 003000830302624 Latitude: 21.555814 Longitude: Paul T. Santo, P.E. Bridge Design Engineer Hawaii Department of Transportation Phone: 808-692-7611 Email: paul.santo@hawaii.gov ABC: 18 months Conventiona Tier 1 Tier 2 Tier 3 • minimized environmental impacts – deck topping did n in the streambed • reduced traffic impacts • reduced onsite construction time – precast planks were construction • 128-ft-long and 42.33-ft-wide three-span precast prest bridge (41 ft – 46 ft – 41 ft) • Rural location Average Daily Traffic count: 9,960 (2009) • Traffic management alternative, if constructed convent across adjacent temporary bridge Existing Bridge: The existing two-lane five-span continuous concrete slab 26 ft wide. The substructure consisted of concrete pier cacconnected to timber piles. Built in 1927, the bridge was sfunctionally obsolete and required replacement. Replacement Bridge: The replacement Bridge: The replacement bridge was designed for current loads a two 12-ft-wide traffic lanes and two 8-ft-wide shoulders. The replacement bridge was designed for current loads a two 12-ft-wide traffic lanes and two 8-ft-wide shoulders. The replacement bridge was designed for current loads a two 12-ft-wide traffic lanes and two 8-ft-wide shoulders. The replacement bridge was designed for current loads a two 12-ft-wide traffic lanes and two 8-ft-wide shoulders. The replacement bridge was designed for current loads a two 12-ft-wide traffic lanes and two 8-ft-wide shoulders. The replacement bridge was designed for current loads a two 12-ft-wide traffic lanes and two 8-ft-wide shoulders. The replacement bridge was designed for current loads a two 12-ft-wide traffic lanes and two 8-ft-wide shoulders. The replacement bridge was designed for current loads a two 12-ft-wide traffic lanes and two 8-ft-wide shoulders. The replacement bridge was designed for current loads a two 12-ft-wide traffic lanes and two 8-ft-wide shoulders. The replacement bridge was selected to the replacement bridge w	State 2010 159 003000830302624 Latitude: 21.555814	

The contractor assembled a temporary 130-ft-long 30-ft wide prefabricated steel truss bridge adjacent to the site. The temporary detour road/bridge was constructed to minimize negative traffic impacts to the traveling public. Traffic was shifted to the temporary bridge and the existing bridge was closed and demolished.

Substructures for the replacement bridge were conventionally constructed. Self-consolidating concrete was used in the test drilled shaft but not used for the production shafts for various reasons.

Cranes were used to place the deck planks on elastomeric bearing pads. Shear keys between planks were filled with grout. The planks were not transversely post-tensioned; a deck was cast over the planks and into the reinforced closure joints over the piers and abutments. The aesthetic concrete traffic railing was constructed. No overlay or sealant was applied to the deck. Traffic was switched to the replacement bridge, and the temporary bridge was removed.

The contract time for completion of all contract items was 385 working days. It included liquidation damages for failure to complete work on time and rental fees for unauthorized lane closure or occupancy. The bridge was opened to traffic in about 18 calendar months and contract items completed in about 22 calendar months after Notice to Proceed. Actual approved extension of time was not available. Any liquidated damage paid was not available.

High Performance Materials

•

Photos





Additional
photos

Project	Decision-Making Tools	Site Procurement	Project Delivery	Contracting
Planning	•	•	Design-bid-build	Full lane closure Lane rental Incentive / disincentive clauses
0 4 1 1		0 14/-11-	D:	

Geotechnical	Foundations & Walls	Rapid Embankment		
Solutions		_		

-	tructural	Prefabricat	ed Bridge Elemen	ts & Systems	Construction
Sol	olutions	Elements	Systems	Miscellaneous	•
		Adjacent slab beams	•	Grouted keys	

Costs

The engineer's estimate for the project was \$10.06 million. The low bid was \$13.26 million. There were five bidders. The cost per square foot of bridge was \$920 based on FHWA guidelines for calculation of bridge construction unit cost.

Funding	Federal only	State only	Federal and State	Other	
			X		
Incentive	Highways for LIFE	IBRD	SHRP2	Other	

Program (\$)			\$400,000				
Contract Plans	Complete Se	: St	uctural Plans (link to pd	f)	ABC *:		
Specifications			Prosecution and Progress (link to pdf)		ABC *:		e Structures Special ens (link to pdf)
			Concrete Structures Specifications (link to pdf)				ral Concrete Special ons (link to pdf)
			Structural Concrete Specifications (link to pdf)				
		[ht ec	Standard Specifications [http://hawaii.gov/dot/highways/specifications2005/specifications/spectble.htm]				
Bid Tabs	Bid Tabs (link	Bid Tabs (link to pdf)					
Schedule	Engineer's: Not available.		Ac	tual:			
Other Related Information							
Photo Credits	Hawaii Department of Transportation						

^{*} Specific to the ABC used in the project.