## **ABC Innovative Projects**

George P. Co	leman B	ridge							
Location	Route 17 (George Washington Memorial Highway) across the York River between Yorktown and Gloucester Point southeast of Richmond								
State	Virginia								
Owner	State								
Year ABC Built									
State ID #	0991946-00000000019824								
NBI#	0000000019824								
Coordinates	Latitude:	37.23	8333		Longitude: -76.5			510000	
Contact Person	Kendal "Ken" Walus, P.E. State Structure and Bridge Engineer Virginia Department of Transportation Phone: 804-786-4575 Email: kendal.walus@vdot.virginia.gov								
Mobility Impact Time	ABC: 9 days				Conventiona	al:			
Impact	Tier 1		Tier 2	Tie	er 3	Tier 4		Tier 5	
Category					Х				
Primary Driver(s)	Eliminated the need for costly, temporary, floating bridge; also:  reduced traffic impacts – a major goal was limiting bridge closure to avoid disrupting traffic  reduced onsite construction time – truss sections were constructed offsite and barged to site to quickly erect  improved work-zone safety  improved site constructability  improved material quality and product durability  minimized environmental impacts  reduced life-cycle cost								
Description	<ul> <li>3,750-ft-long and 77.33-ft-wide 21-span double-swing steel deck truss bridge (2 @ 65 ft - 4 @ 90 ft - 280 ft - 350 ft - 389 ft - 500 ft - 389 ft - 350 ft - 280 ft - 8 @ 90 ft)</li> <li>Urban location</li> <li>Average Daily Traffic count: 32,125 (2008)</li> <li>Traffic management alternative, if constructed conventionally: extended use of 75-mile detour and temporary floating bridge</li> <li>Existing Bridge:  The existing bridge was named after George Preston Coleman, a native Virginian and former mayor of Williamsburg, who was Virginia's highway commissioner from 1913 to 1922. The two-lane bridge was 31 ft wide with two 12-ft-wide traffic lanes and two 1-ft-wide shoulders. Built in 1952, the bridge superstructure was deteriorated and narrow, requiring replacement.</li> <li>Replacement Superstructure:  The replacement bridge has two 12-ft-wide traffic lanes in each direction, a10-ft-wide shoulder on the outside of each direction and a 2-ft-wide shoulder on the median side of</li> </ul>								

each direction. The deck truss portion of the bridge consists of two identical halves, each with a 500-ft-long swing section, a 560-ft-long anchor section, and a 210-ft-long suspended section.

## **Construction Methods:**

In 1995, the largest double-swing bridge in the US was dismantled and replaced in record time. Using lightweight concrete for the deck allowed Virginia DOT designers to widen the new bridge but use the existing foundation for the pivot piers for the swing spans. While approach spans were widened, deck truss sections were prefabricated nearby and then barged to the construction site. Six old sections were removed and six new ones were placed in only nine days.

While the bridge remained open, the contractor widened the concrete piers and roadway approaches using conventional construction concurrently with the construction of the superstructure on falsework 35 miles downstream at the Norfolk International Terminals. The deck truss superstructure was prefabricated in six individual sections that formed two identical halves. Each half consisted of swing, anchor, and suspended sections. After the deck truss sections were fabricated, the lightweight concrete deck and traffic railing were cast, and light poles and traffic signs were installed. The 4,500 psi lightweight concrete was used to reduce the size of the deck truss members and to allow the piers to be widened without additional pile foundations.

At the offsite staging area, three sets of barges were filled with water and floated underneath the three individual sections of the southern half. The superstructure sections were secured into position on the barges, and the water was pumped out of the barges to raise both the barges and the superstructure sections.

On April 3, tugboats pushed the 1,250-ton suspended section on barges up the Chesapeake Bay to the bridge site on the York River; the trip took 10 hours. The anchor section and the swing section were floated to the site a few days before the bridge was closed.

With all three of the southern half on site, the bridge was closed at 5:30 am on Saturday, May 4. By noon the existing swing section was removed. The suspended section was separated by evening. It and the existing anchor section were removed the following day with high tide, completing the removal of the southern half in 30 hours.

The contractor installed the anchor section first, then the suspended section, then the swing section, completing the installation of the southern half by 8:30 pm on Monday, May 6. Delayed by bad weather, the three existing sections of the northern half were removed by late afternoon on Thursday, May 9. By evening on Friday, May 10, two of the three northern sections were installed, with the remaining swing section in place by Saturday, May 11. A total of 7.5 days was required to install the six sections.

Finishing touches were done on Sunday. The cast-in-place closure joints at the ends of the spans and median barriers were cast, and pavement markings were applied. No overlay was applied. The bridge was opened Monday, May 13, at 8:24 am, only nine days after the bridge was closed.

The contract specified a maximum of two 12-day detours for removing the old truss section, moving in new sections and opening the bridge to traffic, with an early completion bonus if the bridge was re-opened early. The bridge was re-opened in one 9-day closure, and the contractor earned a \$1.4 million bonus.

## Stakeholder Feedback:

	Overall good coordination between the consultant designer and the contractor regarding the float-in of the trusses. A lesson learned was that tidal influences need to be more closely considered during a float-in.								
High Performance Materials	Lightweight concrete decks on truss spans								
Photos									
Project	Decision-Makin	g Tools	Site Procureme	nt	Pro	ocurement	Contracting		
Planning	•		•		Desi	gn-bid-build	<ul> <li>Full lane closure</li> <li>Incentive / disincentive clause</li> <li>Lump Sum bonus</li> <li>Formalized partnering</li> </ul>		
Geotechnical	Fo	undatio	ns & Walls		Rapid Embankment				
Solutions	CIP substruction	cture un	der traffic		•				
Structural Solutions	Prefabricated Bridge Elements & Systems Construction								
	[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [	_	Systems		Miscellaneous		Float in		
Colutions	Elements	S'	Systems				- Hoat III		
Columbia	• Elements	5	Truss span with deck	CC	IP reinfo oncrete o VC deck	losure joints	· Floatin		
Costs	The low bid wa seven bidders.	s \$72.7 The cos	Truss span with deck  million for the ent st per square foot tion would have re-	• LV ire proof br	oncrete on WC deck roject in idge water wa	closure joints cluding roac as \$209.	lwork. There were ing bridge. By using tion cost savings of		
	The low bid wa seven bidders.  Conventional correspondents	s \$72.7 The cos onstruct he temp	Truss span with deck  million for the ent st per square foot tion would have re-	• LV ire proof br	oncrete of WC deck roject in idge water wa	closure joints cluding roac as \$209.	lwork. There were ing bridge. By using		
Costs	The low bid wa seven bidders.  Conventional correlabrication to \$15 million.	s \$72.7 The cos onstruct he temp	Truss span with deck  million for the ent st per square foot tion would have reporary bridge was	• LV ire proof br	oncrete of WC deck roject in idge wated a tended, with	closure joints closur	lwork. There were ing bridge. By using tion cost savings of		
Costs Funding Incentive	The low bid wa seven bidders.  Conventional confederation to \$15 million.  Federal only	s \$72.7 The cos onstruct he temp	Truss span with deck  million for the ent st per square foot tion would have reported was  State only  IBRD	equire avoid	oncrete of WC deck roject in idge wated a tended, with	closure joints closur	lwork. There were ing bridge. By using tion cost savings of  Other		
Costs Funding Incentive Program (\$)	The low bid waseven bidders. Conventional corresponding to the second se	s \$72.7 The cos onstruct he temp	Truss span with deck  million for the ent st per square foot tion would have reporary bridge was  State only  IBRD  vailable.	equire avoid	oncrete of NC deck roject in idge wated a tended, with	closure joints closur	lwork. There were ing bridge. By using tion cost savings of  Other		
Costs  Funding Incentive Program (\$) Contract Plans	The low bid was even bidders. Conventional correspond on the second of t	s \$72.7 The cos onstruct he temp   IFE  Not av  S (link to show 2	Truss span with deck  million for the ent st per square foot tion would have reported by the state only  IBRD  vailable.  vailable.  p pdf)  and 3rd bidders;	equire avoid	oncrete of WC deck roject in idge was ed a tended, with Federal ABC *:	closure joints cluding road as \$209.  apporary float h a construct  ral and State  X  SHRP2	lwork. There were ing bridge. By using tion cost savings of  Other		
Costs  Funding Incentive Program (\$) Contract Plans Specifications	The low bid was even bidders. Conventional conference of the conventional conference of the convention	s \$72.7 The cos onstruct he temp   IFE  Not av  S (link to show 2	• Truss span with deck  million for the ent st per square foot tion would have reported by the state only  IBRD  vailable.  vailable.  pdf)  and 3rd bidders;  "Costs."]	equire avoid	oncrete of WC deck roject in idge was ed a tended, with Federal ABC *:	closure joints closur	lwork. There were  ing bridge. By using tion cost savings of  Other  Other		

Photo Credits	Virginia Department of Transportation				
	Summary Video of Construction [http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/bridge/prefab/videos.cfm]				
	May 2002 AASHTO TIG / FHWA Prefabricated Bridges: "Get In, Get Out, Stay Out" (link to pdf)				

<sup>\*</sup> Specific to the ABC used in the project.