


ABC Innovative Projects

I-84 Bridge F-114					
Location	On I-84 in Morgan County 0.8 miles east of the community of Taggart, northeast of Salt Lake City				
State	Utah				
Owner	State				
Year Built	2011				
State ID #	F-I84-6(97)108				
NBI #	Eastbound:	2F 114	Westbound:	4F 114	
Coordinates	Latitude:	41.05916667	Longitude:	-111.58611111	
Contact Person	Carmen Swanwick, P.E. Chief Structural Engineer Utah Department of Transportation Phone: 801-965-4981 Email: cswanwick@utah.gov				
Mobility Impact Time	ABC:	21 days	Conventional:	Estimated 45 days	
Impact Category	<i>Tier 1</i>	<i>Tier 2</i>	<i>Tier 3</i>	Tier 4	<i>Tier 5</i>
				X	
Primary Driver(s)	Reduced onsite construction time; reduced traffic impacts; improved work-zone safety; improved site constructability; improved material quality and product durability				
Description	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Twin 147-ft long and 35-ft wide three-span (55.83 ft – 48.67 ft – 42.17 ft) prestressed concrete I-beam bridge; 49° skew; 1432.39-ft horizontal curve radius • Rural location • Average Daily Traffic count: 4,645 (2010) • Traffic management alternative, if constructed conventionally: extended use of both bridges in both directions of traffic (one lane open in each direction) <p>Existing Bridge: The existing bridge has two 12 ft-wide lanes, a 4 ft-wide inside shoulder, and a 4.17 ft-wide outside shoulder on each of the adjacent structures; the clear median distance between the twin structures is 5.83 ft. Each cross-section consists of five AASHTO Type IV beams in the first two spans with four beams at 7.625 ft spacing with the outside beam splayed in span 1 and with the outside two beams splayed in span 2. Span 3 has four AASHTO Type IV beams at 10 ft spacing with the inside beam splayed. Built in 1967, the 7.5 inch-thick concrete deck was deteriorated and required replacement.</p> <p>Construction Methods: The replacement deck consists of 60 full-width, full-depth precast deck panels built with the NCHRP 12-65 detail for transverse connections between panels, instead of longitudinal post-tensioning. Most of the 8.5 inch-thick, 4,000 psi lightweight concrete panels are 35 ft wide and 7 ft long with varying dimensional details due to the horizontal curvature of the bridges. Panels at the ends of the bridges are 7 ft long or 14 ft long with one end matching the abutment skew. The panels were constructed with anchorage reinforcement for the traffic rails extending from the top surface at each edge.</p> <p>The panels were fabricated in a precast plant and transported by truck to the site. The</p>				

	<p>decks were replaced in phases. First the westbound bridge was closed, and traffic switched to one lane in each direction on the eastbound bridge, with traffic lanes separated by a median barrier. The westbound bridge deck was replaced and the bridge opened with traffic switched to one lane in each direction on it, separated by a median barrier, while the eastbound bridge deck was replaced. Each bridge was then opened to two lanes of traffic. Deck replacement details are described below.</p> <p>The existing deck was demolished conventionally, and the beams repaired as needed. The panels were erected with a crane, and transverse shear keys were grouted. Shear studs were then installed in panel blockouts over the beams. The beam haunches, shear stud blockouts, and connection blockouts were then filled with grout and allowed to cure for a minimum of 24 hours. Reinforcement was placed in the transverse joint slots, and the slots were filled with grout. The lightweight concrete parapets were cast in place. A 7 ft-tall chain link fence was installed on top of the concrete parapets.</p> <p>The approach slabs were cast in place, rather than precast as shown on the plans, based on an approved value engineering proposal during construction. The deck, approach slabs, and sleeper slab surfaces were ground. A waterproofing membrane was rolled on, rather than sprayed on as shown on the plans, based on the approved value engineering proposal. An asphalt overlay was then applied.</p> <p>The contract required that at least one lane of traffic in each direction of I-84 remain open at all times. Also, any ramp closure greater than 21 days would be assessed liquidated damages. The bid amount, for purposes of bid comparisons to determine the low bidder, was determined by summing the price component with applicable time components and lane rental components.</p> <p>Stakeholder Feedback: Assembly of deck panels went quickly and well despite complex geometry.</p>			
High Performance Materials	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lightweight concrete deck 			
Photos	 <p>Additional photos</p>			
Project Planning	Decision-Making Tools <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State process 	Site Procurement <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 	Project Delivery <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design-bid-build 	Contracting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A+B+C bidding • Lane rental • Incentive / disincentive clauses
Geotechnical Solutions	Foundations & Walls <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 		Rapid Embankment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 	
Structural Solutions	Prefabricated Bridge Elements & Systems			Construction
	Elements	Systems	Miscellaneous	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full-depth precast deck panels w/o PT 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grouted keys • Grouted blockouts w/ shear connectors • Asphalt overlay w/ membrane • LWC deck 	
Costs	The engineer's estimate for the project was \$2.2 million, including \$76,200 for innovative contracting.			
Funding	<i>Federal only</i>	<i>State only</i>	Federal and State	<i>Other</i>
			X (state match)	
Incentive Program (\$)	<i>Highways for LIFE</i>	<i>IBRD</i>	<i>SHRP2</i>	<i>Other</i>
Contract Plans	Complete Set:	Contract Plans (link to pdfs)	ABC *:	
Specifications	Complete Set:		ABC *:	SP-Deck Removal (link to pdf) SP-Deck Panels (link to pdf) SP-Approach Slab (link to pdf) SP-Prosecution and Progress (link to pdf)
Bid Tabs	Not available			
Schedule	Engineer's:	Not available	Actual:	
Other Related Information	Engineer's Estimate (link to pdf) UDOT ABC website [http://www.udot.utah.gov (Inside UDOT / Project Development / Structures Design and Bridge Operations / ABC)]			
Photo Credits	Utah Department of Transportation			

* Specific to the ABC used in the project.